arXiv:1608.03249v1 [math.SG] 10 Aug 2016

On Periodic Points of Symplectomorphisms on Surfaces

Marta Batoréo

Abstract. We construct a symplectic flow on a surface of genus $g \geq 2$, $\Sigma_{g\geq 2}$, with exactly $2g-2$ hyperbolic fixed points and no other periodic orbits. Moreover, we prove that a (strongly non-degenerate) symplectomorphism of $\Sigma_{g\geq 2}$ isotopic to the identity has infinitely many periodic points if there exists a fixed point with non-zero mean index. From this result, we obtain two corollaries, namely that such a symplectomorphism of $\Sigma_{g\geq 2}$ with an elliptic fixed point or with strictly more than $2g-2$ fixed points has infinitely many periodic points provided that the flux of the isotopy is "irrational".

Contents

1. Introduction and main results 2
1.1. Existence of a symplectomorphism with exactly $2g-2$ hyperbolic fixed points and no other periodic points 3
1.2. Existence of infinitely many periodic points 6
2. Preliminaries 6
2.1. A covering space of the space of contractible loops 6
2.2. Symplectomorphisms and periodic orbits 7
2.3. The mean index and the Conley-Zehnder index 9
2.4. The Floer–Novikov homology 11
3. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 12
3.1. Construction of a symplectic flow with exactly $2g-2$ hyperbolic fixed points and no other periodic orbits 13
3.2. The Floer–Novikov homology of symplectomorphisms satisfying the flux condition (1.1) 17
4. Proofs of Theorems 1.3–1.5 18
4.1. Proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 18
4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.5 19
References 19

This work was done while the author was a Post-Doctorate at IMPA, funded by CAPES-Brazil.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 53D40 (primary), 37J10, 70H12 (secondary)
Acknowledgments: The author is deeply grateful to Viktor Ginzburg for many useful discussions and valuable remarks. The author is also grateful to Luís Diogo for some useful discussions in the initial phase of the project and to Diogo Bessam for the technical help with drawing some figures in the paper.

1. Introduction and main results

In this paper, we construct a symplectic flow ψ^t on a closed surface with genus $g \geq 2$, $\Sigma_{g\geq 2}$, having exactly $2g-2$ hyperbolic fixed points and no other periodic points. This is a genuine flow and it satisfies an "irrationality" condition on its flux (see property (1.1)). This construction yields the computation of the Floer–Novikov homology when (1.1) holds. With this information and under the assumption (1.1), we prove that a (strongly non-degenerate) symplectomorphism ϕ on $\Sigma_{g\geq 2}$ (connected to the identity by an isotopy ϕ_t) possessing a fixed point with non-zero mean index has infinitely many periodic points. As a consequence of this result, we see that the presence of an elliptic fixed point or of strictly more than $2g-2$ fixed points implies the existence of infinitely many periodic points.

In this paper, we are interested in symplectomorphisms which are not hamiltonian. However our results fit in the context of a conjecture stated in [Gür13, Gür14] where B. Z. Gürel suggests that the presence of an *unnecessary* fixed point of a hamiltonian diffeomorphism guarantees the existence of infinitely many periodic points. There, *unnecessary* is viewed from a homological or geometrical perspective. The results in [Gür13, Gür14] support the conjecture when the fixed point is unnecessary from a homological viewpoint. From the geometrical perspective, the conjecture is supported, e.g., by the result in [GG14] where V. L. Ginzburg and B. Z. Gürel prove that, for a vast class of symplectic manifolds (which includes the complex projective space $\mathbb{CP}^n$), a hamiltonian diffeomorphism with a hyperbolic fixed point has infinitely many periodic points.

Furthermore, the conjecture by B. Z. Gürel is a variant of a conjecture by H. Hofer and E. Zehnder in [HZ11, p.263] claiming "(...) that every Hamiltonian map on a compact symplectic manifold (M,ω) possessing more fixed points than necessary required by the V. Arnold conjecture possesses always infinitely many periodic points". For instance, the conjecture in [HZ11] on $\mathbb{CP}^n$ claims that a non-degenerate hamiltonian diffeomorphism has infinitely many periodic points if it fixes more than $n+1$ points. This was motivated by the result of J. Franks proved in [Fra88] that an area preserving diffeomorphism on S^2 with more than two fixed points has infinitely many periodic points (see also [Fra92, Fra96, GLC99] and [BH12, CKR$^+$12, Ker12] for symplectic topological proofs).

Recall that a hamiltonian diffeomorphism on a closed surface with genus $g \geq 1$ always has infinitely many periodic points. This statement was conjectured by C. Conley on the torus ([Con84]), it was proved in [Hin09] and it has been generalized to a vast class of symplectic manifolds; see V. L. Ginzburg's proof in [Gin10] and e.g. [GG12, Hei12, GGM14] for more contributions.

The background discussed so far concerns hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. For symplectomorphisms which need not be hamiltonian, H. V. Lê and K. Ono proved in [LO95] a version of V. I. Arnold's conjecture for non-degenerate symplectomorphisms. A lower bound for the number of fixed points of a symplectomorphism is given by the sum of the Betti numbers of the Novikov homology of a closed 1-form representing the cohomology class given by the flux of an isotopy connecting the identity to the symplectomorphism. Observe that this lower bound may be zero as in the case of the 2-torus. Moreover, when

the flux of the isotopy is zero, the Novikov homology associated to the flux is the ordinary homology of M and, in this case, i.e. when the symplectomorphism is hamiltonian, this is the statement of V. I. Arnold's conjecture.

There is also an analogue of the result by V. L. Ginzburg and B. Z. Gürel in [GG14] which claims that if a symplectomorphism (satisfying some conditions on its flux) has a hyperbolic fixed point, then there are infinitely many periodic points. If the hyperbolic fixed point corresponds to a contractible periodic orbit, the result is proved in [Bat15] for some class of manifolds which includes, for instance, the product of $\mathbb{CP}^n$ with a $2m$-dimensional torus, $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{T}^{2m}$, with $m \leq n$ (or $\mathbb{CP}^n \times P^{2m}$, with P^{2m} a symplectically aspherical $2m$-manifold). The case when the hyperbolic periodic orbit is non-contractible was proved in [Bat] and it holds e.g. on the product spaces $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \Sigma_{g\geq 2}$. We point out that the existence of infinitely many periodic points is guaranteed by the presence of a hyperbolic fixed point on $\mathbb{CP}^n$ and on $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \Sigma_{g\geq 2}$. However, such a result does not hold on $\Sigma_{g\geq 2}$.

In fact, Theorem 1.1 and the construction of Section 3.1 give a symplectomorphism with finitely many hyperbolic fixed points and no other periodic points (c.f. [KH95, Exercise 14.6.1]). The number of fixed points of this symplectomorphism is exactly $2g-2$ which is the lower bound for the number of fixed points of a diffeomorphism given by the Lefschetz fixed point theorem. We prove the presence of infinitely many periodic points of a (strongly non-degenerate) symplectomorphism on $\Sigma_{g\geq 2}$ (with an "irrationality" assumption on its flux) provided the existence of a fixed point with non-zero mean index (c.f. Theorem 1.4). Such a condition is satisfied, e.g., if the fixed point is elliptic (see Theorem 1.3) or if the number of fixed points is strictly greater than $2g-2$ (see Theorem 1.5).

In the following two sections (1.1 and 1.2), we state the main theorems of this paper. The theorems in Section 1.1 refer to the existence of the symplectomorphism with exactly $2g-2$ fixed points and no other periodic points (Theorem 1.1) and to the computation of the Floer–Novikov homology of symplectomorphisms satisfying condition (1.1) (Theorem 1.2). In Section 1.2, we state the theorems which give sufficient conditions for the existence of infinitely many periodic points of symplectomorphisms with flux as in (1.1) (Theorems 1.3–1.5). The remaining sections are organized as follows: in Section 2, we present the definitions and known results used in the statements and proofs of our theorems, in Section 3, we prove the results stated in Section 1.1 and, in Section 4, we prove the theorems stated in Section 1.2.

1.1. **Existence of a symplectomorphism with exactly $2g-2$ hyperbolic fixed points and no other periodic points.** Consider a closed surface Σ with genus g greater than or equal to 2 and a symplectic form ω on Σ. The first cohomology group $H^1(\Sigma;\mathbb{R})$ of the surface Σ can be identified with $\mathbb{R}^{2g}$ and hence the image of $[\phi_t] \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Symp}}_0(\Sigma,\omega)$ under the flux homomorphism (see Section 2.2) can be viewed as a $2g$-tuple $(u_1, v_1, \ldots, u_g, v_g) \in \mathbb{R}^{2g}$, where $\widetilde{\mathrm{Symp}}_0(\Sigma,\omega)$ is the universal covering of the identity component of the group of symplectomorphisms on Σ. Moreover, the kernel of the flux homomorphism is given

by the universal covering of the group of hamiltonian diffeomorphisms, $\widetilde{\mathrm{Ham}}(\Sigma,\omega)$. We recall that the flux homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Flux}\colon \widetilde{\mathrm{Symp}_0}(\Sigma,\omega)\to H^1(\Sigma,\mathbb{R});\quad [\phi_t]\mapsto\left[\int_0^1\omega(X_t,\cdot)dt\right]$$

descends to a homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Flux}\colon \mathrm{Symp}_0(\Sigma,\omega)\to H^1(\Sigma,\mathbb{R});\quad \phi\mapsto\left[\int_0^1\omega(X_t,\cdot)dt\right]$$

since Σ is atoroidal (see Section 2.2). If a symplectomorphism ϕ satisfies

$$\mathrm{Flux}(\phi)=(u_1,v_1,\dots,u_g,v_g)\quad\text{with}\quad u_i\neq 0\quad\text{and}\quad \frac{v_i}{u_i}\in\mathbb{R}\backslash\mathbb{Q},\tag{1.1}$$

we say that it satisfies the **flux condition**.

Remark 1. *If ϕ satisfies the flux condition (1.1), then ϕ^k also satisfies the flux condition (for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$).*

Our first main result is the following

Theorem 1.1. *Given $(u_1,v_1,\dots,u_g,v_g)\in\mathbb{R}^{2g}$ such that*

$$u_i\neq 0\quad\text{and}\quad v_i/u_i\in\mathbb{R}\backslash\mathbb{Q},\quad i=1,\dots,g,$$

there exists a symplectic flow

$$\psi^t_{(u_1,v_1,\dots,u_g,v_g)}\colon\Sigma\to\Sigma$$

with no periodic orbits other than (exactly) $2g-2$ hyperbolic fixed points and

$$\mathit{Flux}\left(\psi^t_{(u_1,v_1,\dots,u_g,v_g)}\Big|_{t\in[0,1]}\right)=(u_1,v_1,\dots,u_g,v_g).$$

Denote by $HFN_*(\phi)$ the Floer–Novikov homology of a symplectomorphism ϕ of Σ isotopic to the identity (see Section 2.4 for the definition). Using the construction of the (genuine) flow $\psi^t_{(u_1,v_1,\dots,u_g,v_g)}$ given by the previous theorem, we compute $HFN_*(\phi)$ for non-degenerate symplectomorphisms ϕ satisfying (1.1) (see Theorem 1.2). In the following theorem, one can take any ring (e.g. $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Q}$) as the ground ring $\mathbb{F}$. In this paper, for the sake of simplicity, all complexes and homology groups are defined over the ground field $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\phi\in\mathit{Symp}_0(\Sigma,\omega)$ be non-degenerate and satisfying the flux condition (1.1). Then the Floer–Novikov homology of ϕ is given by*

$$HFN_r(\phi)=\begin{cases}\mathbb{F}^{2g-2}, & r=0\\ 0, & r\neq 0.\end{cases}\tag{1.2}$$

We point out that H. V. Lê and K. Ono proved ([LO95, Theorem 8.1]) that, for a certain class of symplectic manifolds, if the flux of the isotopy is sufficiently small, then the Floer–Novikov homology of the isotopy may be computed by the Novikov homology

of a closed 1- form representing the flux of the isotopy. Namely, on Σ, Theorem 8.1 in [LO95] states that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $||\theta||_{C^1} < \varepsilon$, then

$$HFN_*(\phi) \simeq HN_{*+1}(\theta)$$

where $[\theta] = \mathrm{Flux}(\phi)$. In Theorem 1.2, in contrast, the flux of ϕ is not assumed to be small.

Let us compute the Novikov homology of θ when $[\theta] = \mathrm{Flux}(\phi) = (u_1, v_1, \ldots, u_g, v_g)$ with $u_1, v_1, \ldots, u_g, v_g \in \mathbb{R}$ rationally independent. Consider the homomorphism $\pi_1(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\gamma \mapsto \int_\gamma \theta \tag{1.3}$$

which we also denote by $[\theta]$. Since $u_1, v_1, \ldots, u_g, v_g$ are rationally independent, the kernel $\ker([\theta])$ is the commutator $[\pi_1(\Sigma), \pi_1(\Sigma)]$ of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\Sigma)$. Let $\pi\colon \widetilde{\Sigma} \to \Sigma$ be the covering space associated to the homomorphism $[\theta]$, i.e., $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ is the maximal free abelian covering of Σ. Then there exists a function $\overline{f}\colon \widetilde{\Sigma} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\pi^*\theta = d\overline{f}$. We recall that the Novikov complex of θ is defined in the same way as the Morse complex of $\overline{f}$ (see e.g. [LO95], namely Section 6 and Appendix C and [Ono06] and references therein).

As mentioned in the example of [LO95, Section 7], the Betti numbers of $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ are 0, $2g-2$ and 0. Hence, by [LO95, Theorem 8.1], for $||\theta||_{C^1}$ sufficiently small,

$$HFN_*(\phi) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}^{2g-2}, & * = 0 \\ 0, & * \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

which coincides with the computations in Theorem 1.2.

Notice that, when $u_1, v_1, \ldots, u_g, v_g \in \mathbb{R}$ are rationally independent, the sum of the Betti numbers of the Novikov homology of θ, with $[\theta] = (u_1, v_1, \ldots, u_g, v_g)$, is $2g-2$ (regardless of whether $||\theta||$ is sufficiently small or not) and hence the lower bound given by the main theorem in [LO95, p. 156] is attained by the symplectic flow given by Theorem 1.1.

Remark 2. *We observe that*

(1) *due to conventions on the indices, the Floer–Novikov homology in this paper is the Floer–Novikov homology considered in* [LO95] *with the degree shifted by* $n = 1$,
(2) *on* Σ, *the Novikov rings* $\Lambda_{\theta,\omega}$ *and* Λ_θ *in* [LO95] *are isomorphic and hence*

$$Nov_*(\theta) \otimes_{\Lambda_\theta} \Lambda_{\theta,\omega} \simeq Nov_*(\theta)$$

(3) *and* $\varepsilon > 0$ *is taken small enough so that the conditions in* [Ono06, Definition 3.9] *are satisfied. See also* [Ono06, Theorem 3.12].

Remark 3 (Non-contractible orbits)**.** *In this paper, the Floer–Novikov homology is defined for contractible periodic orbits (as in* [Ono06]*), unless explicitly stated otherwise. If the fixed points of the symplectomorphisms correspond to non-contractible periodic orbits, take the Floer–Novikov homology for non-contractible periodic orbits defined in* [BH01]*. In that case, the Floer–Novikov homology of a non-degenerate* $\phi \in Symp_0(\Sigma, \omega)$ *satisfying* (1.1) *is* $HFN_*(\phi, \zeta) = 0$, *where* ζ *is a non-trivial free homotopy class of loops in* Σ. *See Remark 17.*

1.2. **Existence of infinitely many periodic points.** Consider a strongly non-degenerate symplectomorphism ϕ (see page 8 for the definition) on a closed surface Σ (with genus $g \geq 2$) satisfying the flux condition (1.1). The following theorem gives a condition under which ϕ has infinitely many periodic points.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\phi \in Symp_0(\Sigma, \omega)$ be strongly non-degenerate. Suppose ϕ satisfies the flux condition (1.1) and that ϕ has an elliptic fixed point. Then ϕ has infinitely many periodic points.*

Remark 4. *If x_0 corresponds to a non-contractible periodic orbit, Theorem 1.3 remains valid. See Remark 18.*

Theorem 1.3 follows from a more general result:

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\phi \in Symp_0(\Sigma, \omega)$ be strongly non-degenerate. Suppose ϕ satisfies the flux condition (1.1) and that ϕ has a fixed point x_0 such that its mean index $\Delta(x_0)$ is not zero. Then ϕ has infinitely many periodic points.*

In Section 4, we prove, more precisely, that if ϕ has finitely many fixed points, then every large prime is a simple period, i.e., a period of a simple (noniterated) orbit. (In particular, the number of simple periods less than or equal to k is of order at least $k/\log(k)$.) One of the main tools used in the proof of this theorem is Floer–Novikov homology and the proof relies on Theorem 1.2. Another consequence of Theorem 1.4 is Theorem 1.5 which gives a sufficient condition on the number of fixed points of ϕ for the existence of infinitely many periodic points of ϕ.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\phi \in Symp_0(\Sigma, \omega)$ be strongly non-degenerate and suppose it satisfies the flux condition (1.1). If the number of fixed points of ϕ is (strictly) greater than $2g-2$, then ϕ has infinitely many periodic points.*

2. Preliminaries

Consider a closed surface Σ with genus $g \geq 2$ and a symplectic structure ω on Σ. In this section, we follow [BH01, GG15, LO95, Ono06, SZ92].

2.1. **A covering space of the space of contractible loops.** Let $\mathcal{L}\Sigma$ be the space of contractible loops in Σ and $\Omega\Sigma$ be the space of based contractible loops in Σ. The map $ev\colon \mathcal{L}\Sigma \to \Sigma$ defined by $x \mapsto x(0)$ is a fibration with fiber $\Omega\Sigma$ (see e.g. [Hu59, pp 83] for the details). It induces a long exact sequence on the homotopy groups and part of it is given by

$$\pi_1(\Omega\Sigma) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{L}\Sigma) \to \pi_1(\Sigma).$$

Since this fibration admits a section consisting of constant loops,

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{L}\Sigma) \cong \pi_1(\Omega\Sigma) \oplus \pi_1(\Sigma).$$

With the identification $\pi_1(\Omega\Sigma) \equiv \pi_2(\Sigma)$ (see e.g. [Ada78, pp.5–7] for the details) and since $\pi_2(\Sigma) = 0$, we have

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{L}\Sigma) \cong \pi_1(\Sigma).$$

Let θ be a closed 1-form on Σ and consider the homomorphism $\overline{[\theta]}\colon \pi_1(\mathcal{L}\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R}$ induced by the homomorphism $[\theta]\colon \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by (1.3). Moreover, take the covering $\pi\colon \widetilde{\Sigma} \to \Sigma$ associated with $\ker([\theta]) \leqslant \pi_1(\Sigma)$. When $\ker([\theta]) = 0$, $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ is the universal covering of Σ. Choose a function $\overline{f}\colon \widetilde{\Sigma} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $d\overline{f} = \pi^*\theta$.

Denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\Sigma$ the covering space of $\mathcal{L}\Sigma$ associated with $\ker(\overline{[\theta]}) \leqslant \pi_1(\mathcal{L}\Sigma)$. The deck transformation group of $p\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\Sigma \to \mathcal{L}\Sigma$ is

$$\Gamma := \frac{\pi_1(\mathcal{L}\Sigma)}{\ker(\overline{[\theta]})} \cong \frac{\pi_1(\Sigma)}{\ker([\theta])}.$$

Following [Ono06], an element of the covering space $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\Sigma$ can be described as an equivalence class (for a relation $\sim$) of a loop $\widetilde{x}$ in $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ where the relation $\sim$ is defined by: $\widetilde{x} \sim \widetilde{y}$ if

$$\pi \circ \widetilde{x} = \pi \circ \widetilde{y} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\overline{f}(\widetilde{x}(o)) = \overline{f}(\widetilde{y}(o)) \tag{2.2}$$

where o is the base point of S^1, i.e. $1 \in \partial D^2 \subset \mathbb{C}$. We observe that conditions (2.1) and (2.2) are equivalent to $\widetilde{x} = \widetilde{y}$ and, hence, $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\Sigma$ is in fact the space $\mathcal{L}\widetilde{\Sigma}$ of contractible loops in $\widetilde{\Sigma}$.

Remark 5 (c.f. [Ono06])**.** *The homomorphisms $\mathcal{I}_\omega$ and $\mathcal{I}_{c_1}$ defined by* [Ono06] *are identically zero when $M = \Sigma$, since $\pi_2(\Sigma) = 0$. Moreover, the homomorphism $\mathcal{I}_\eta$ in the same paper is the map $\overline{[\theta]}$ defined on page 7.*

2.2. **Symplectomorphisms and periodic orbits.** We denote by $\mathrm{Symp}(\Sigma, \omega)$ the group of symplectomorphisms of (Σ, ω) and by $\mathrm{Symp}_0(\Sigma, \omega)$ the component of the identity in $\mathrm{Symp}(\Sigma, \omega)$.

Let $\phi \in \mathrm{Symp}_0(\Sigma, \omega)$ and consider ϕ_t a symplectic isotopy connecting the identity $\phi_0 = id$ to $\phi_1 = \phi$ and define a vector field X_t by:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\phi_t = X_t \circ \phi_t.$$

The flux homomorphism is defined on the universal covering of $\mathrm{Symp}_0(\Sigma, \omega)$, $\widetilde{\mathrm{Symp}_0}(\Sigma, \omega)$, by

$$\mathrm{Flux}\colon \widetilde{\mathrm{Symp}_0}(\Sigma, \omega) \to H^1(\Sigma; \mathbb{R}); \quad [\phi_t] \mapsto \left[\int_0^1 \omega(X_t, \cdot)dt\right].$$

This homomorphism is surjective, its kernel is given by $\widetilde{\mathrm{Ham}}(\Sigma, \omega)$, i.e. the universal covering of the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms (see [MS95]) and, when $g \geq 2$, (see [Kęd00]) it descends to a homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Flux}\colon \mathrm{Symp}_0(\Sigma, \omega) \to H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}); \quad \phi \mapsto \left[\int_0^1 \omega(X_t, \cdot)dt\right].$$

Remark 6. [MS95, pages 316–317] *Under the usual identification of* $H^1(\Sigma;\mathbb{R})$ *with* $Hom(\pi_1(\Sigma),\mathbb{R})$*, the cohomology class* $Flux([\phi_t])$ *corresponds to the homomorphism*

$$\pi_1(\Sigma)\to\mathbb{R};\quad \gamma\quad\mapsto\quad \int_0^1\int_0^1 \omega(X_t(\gamma(s)),\dot\gamma(s))dsdt.$$

for $\gamma\colon S^1=\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\to\Sigma$*. Geometrically, the value of* $Flux([\phi_t])$ *on the loop* γ *is the symplectic area swept by the path* γ *under the isotopy* ϕ_t*.*

Denote by θ a closed 1-form such that $\mathrm{Flux}([\phi])=[\theta]\in H^1(\Sigma;\mathbb{R})$.

Lê and Ono proved in [LO95, Lemma 2.1] that $\{\phi_t\}$ can be deformed through symplectic isotopies (keeping the end points fixed) so that the cohomology classes $[\omega(X_t',\cdot)]$, for all $t\in[0,1]$, and $\mathrm{Flux}([\phi_t'])=[\theta]$ are the same (where X_t' is the vector field associated with the deformed symplectic isotopies ϕ_t'). Namely, each element in $\widetilde{\mathrm{Symp}}_0(\Sigma,\omega)$ admits a representative symplectic isotopy generated by a smooth path of closed 1-forms θ_t on Σ whose cohomology class is identically equal to the flux, i.e.

$$-\omega(X_t',\cdot)=\theta+dh_t=:\theta_t \tag{2.3}$$

for some one-periodic in time Hamiltonian $h_t\colon\Sigma\to\mathbb{R},\ t\in S^1$.

The fixed points of $\phi=\phi_1$ are in one-to-one correspondence with 1-periodic solutions of the differential equation

$$\dot x(t)=X_{\theta_t}(t,x(t)) \tag{2.4}$$

where X_{θ_t} is defined by $\omega(X_{\theta_t},\cdot)=-\theta_t$. From now on we denote the vector field X_{θ_t} also by X_t.

A 1-periodic solution x of (2.4) is called *non-degenerate* if 1 is not an eigenvalue of the linearized return map $d\phi_{x(0)}\colon T_{x(0)}\Sigma\to T_{x(0)}\Sigma$. If all 1-periodic orbits of X_t are non-degenerate, then the associated symplectomorphism ϕ is called non-degenerate and if all periodic orbits of X_t are non-degenerate then ϕ is called *strongly non-degenerate*. Moreover, if all periodic orbits of X_t are non-degenerate, then the set $\mathcal{P}(\theta_t)$ of 1-periodic solutions of (2.4) is finite.

The set $\mathcal{P}(\theta_t)$ coincides with the zero set of the closed 1-form defined on the space of contractible loops on Σ, $\mathcal{L}\Sigma$, by

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{\{\phi_t\}}(x,\xi) &= \int_0^1\omega(\dot x-X_t,\xi)dt\\ &= \int_0^1\omega(\dot x,\xi)+\theta_t(x(t))(\xi)dt\\ &= \int_0^1\omega(\dot x,\xi)dt+\int_0^1(\theta+dh_t)(\xi)dt\end{aligned}$$

where $x\in\mathcal{L}\Sigma$ and $\xi\in T_x\mathcal{L}\Sigma$ (i.e. ξ is a tangent vector field along the loop x or, equivalently, $\xi(t)\in T_{x(t)}\Sigma$).

A primitive function of the pull-back of the 1-form $\alpha_{\{\phi_t\}}$ to the covering space $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\Sigma$ (defined in Section 2.1) is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\{\phi_t\}}(\widetilde{x}) := -\int_{D^2} v^*\omega + \int_0^1 (\overline{f} + h_t \circ \pi)(\widetilde{x}(t))dt$$

where $v\colon D^2 \to \Sigma$ is some disc in Σ with $\pi \circ \widetilde{x} = v|_{\partial D^2}$. Notice that the right-hand side is independent of the choice of the disc v.

2.3. **The mean index and the Conley-Zehnder index.** For every continuous path $\Phi\colon [0,1] \to \mathrm{Sp}(2)$ of 2×2 symplectic matrices such that $\Phi(0) = Id$, the mean index $\Delta(\Phi)$ measures, roughly speaking, the total rotation angle swept by certain eigenvalues on the unit circle. We describe this index (and the Conley-Zenhder index) explicitly.

Let A be a symplectic matrix in $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$. Then it has two eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 such that either $\lambda_i \in S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ or $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{-1,1\}$ $(i = 1,2)$ and $\lambda_1\lambda_2 = 1$. We denote the spectrum of A, i.e. the set of eigenvalues of A, by $\sigma(A)$.

If $1 \notin \sigma(A)$, we say A is *non-degenerate*. We distinguish two cases of non-degenerate matrices:

- the eigenvalues are real ($\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{R}\backslash\{-1,+1\}$). Then, either $0 < \lambda_1 < 1 < \lambda_2 = \lambda_1^{-1}$ or $\lambda_1 < -1 < \lambda_2 = \lambda_1^{-1} < 0$. In this case, A is called *hyperbolic*.

- the eigenvalues are on the unit circle ($\sigma(A) \subset S^1\backslash\{1\}$) in which case A is called *elliptic*.

Set

$$\rho(A) = \begin{cases} e^{i\nu}, & \text{if } A \text{ is conjugate to a rotation by an angle } \nu \in (-\pi,\pi) \\ 1, & \text{if } \sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0} \\ -1, & \text{if } \sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{R}_{<0}. \end{cases}$$

This function $\rho\colon \mathrm{Sp}(2) \to S^1$ is continuous, invariant by conjugation and equal to $\det_{\mathbb{C}}\colon U(1) \to S^1$ on $U(1)$. When

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \rho(A) = -1. \tag{2.5}$$

Then, given a path $\Phi\colon [0,1] \to \mathrm{Sp}(2)$, there is a continuous function $\eta(\cdot)$ such that $\rho(\Phi(t)) = e^{i\eta(t)}$ measuring the rotation of certain unit eigenvalues and the *mean index* of Φ is defined by

$$\Delta(\Phi) := \frac{\eta(1) - \eta(0)}{\pi}.$$

Denote the set of non-degenerate matrices in $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$ by $\mathrm{Sp}(2)^*$. This set has two connected components

$$\mathrm{Sp}(2)^+ := \{A \in \mathrm{Sp}(2)^* : \det(A - I) > 0\}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Sp}(2)^- := \{A \in \mathrm{Sp}(2)^* : \det(A - I) < 0\}.$$

Remark 7. *The set* $\mathrm{Sp}(2)^+$ *consists of matrices in* $\mathrm{Sp}(2)^*$ *which are elliptic or hyperbolic with negative eigenvalues and* $\mathrm{Sp}(2)^-$ *is the set of matrices in* $\mathrm{Sp}(2)^*$ *which are hyperbolic with positive eigenvalues.*

Define the matrices

$$W^+ := \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}(2)^+ \quad \text{and} \quad W^- := \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}(2)^-.$$

For $A \in \mathrm{Sp}(2)^*$, consider a path $\Psi_A \colon [0,1] \to \mathrm{Sp}(2)^*$ connecting $A \in \mathrm{Sp}(2)^\pm$ to $W^\pm$. Then, the *Conley-Zehnder index* of Φ is, by definition,

$$\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(\Phi) := \Delta(\Phi \# \Psi_{\Phi(1)}) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where $\Phi \# \Psi_{\Phi(1)}$ is the concatenation of the paths Φ and $\Psi_{\Phi(1)}$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$.

The mean index and the Conley-Zehnder index of Φ satisfy the following relation

$$0 \neq |\Delta_{\{\phi_t\}}(\Phi) - \mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(\Phi)| < 1 \quad \text{(when } \Phi(1) \text{ is non-degenerate).} \tag{2.6}$$

We recall some properties of the indices where we assume $\Phi(1) \in \mathrm{Sp}(2)^*$ and $-1 \notin \sigma(\Phi(1))$ (see Remark 8).

Result 1.

(1) *If* $\Phi(1)$ *is elliptic, then* $\Delta(\Phi) \neq 0$.
(2) *If* $\Phi(1)$ *is hyperbolic then* $\Delta(\Phi) \in \mathbb{Z}$. *Equivalently, if* $\Delta(\Phi) \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Z}$, *then* $\Phi(1)$ *is elliptic.*

Result 2. *If* $\Phi(1)$ *is elliptic, then* $\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(\Phi)$ *is an odd integer. Equivalently, if* $\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(\Phi)$ *is an even integer, then* $\Phi(1)$ *is hyperbolic.*

Result 3. *If* $\Phi(1)$ *is hyperbolic, then* $\Delta(\Phi) = \mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(\Phi)$. *Moreover, the eigenvalues of* $\Phi(1)$ *are positive if and only if* $\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(\Phi)$ *is even.*

Remark 8. *In the main theorems of this paper, we assume that* $\Phi(1)$ *is strongly non-degenerate and, hence,* $-1 \notin \sigma(\Phi(1))$.

For every $x \in \mathcal{P}(\theta_t)$, there is a well defined mean index and, when x is non-degenerate, the Conley-Zehnder index of x is also well defined. In fact, for $\widetilde{x} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\Sigma$, there is a well defined, up to homotopy, $\mathbb{C}$-vector bundle trivialization of $x^*T\Sigma$ and the linearized flow along $x \in \mathcal{P}(\theta_t)$

$$d\phi_t \colon T_{x(0)}\Sigma \to T_{x(t)}\Sigma$$

can be viewed as a symplectic path

$$\Phi \colon [0,1] \to \mathrm{Sp}(2). \tag{2.7}$$

Then the mean index Δ_{ϕ_t} is defined by $\Delta_{\{\phi_t\}}(\widetilde{x}) := \Delta(\Phi)$ and the Conley–Zehnder index μ_{CZ} is defined, for non-degenerate orbits x, by $\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(\widetilde{x}) := \mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(\Phi)$. Since Σ is aspherical, the indices are independent of the lift $\widetilde{x}$ of x and we write

$$\Delta_{\{\phi_t\}}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x)$$

for the mean index and the Conley–Zehnder index of x, respectively.

These indices satisfy the following properties

$$\Delta_{\{\phi_t^k\}}(x^k) = k\Delta_{\{\phi_t\}}(x) \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$|\Delta_{\{\phi_t\}}(x) - \mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x)| < 1 \quad \text{(when } x \text{ is non-degenerate)}. \tag{2.9}$$

Furthermore, we say that a non-degenerate $x \in \mathcal{P}(\theta_t)$ is elliptic (or hyperbolic) if the endpoint of the associated symplectic path as in (2.7) is elliptic (or hyperbolic, respectively). Moreover, the stated results hold for a periodic orbit x if they are satisfied by the corresponding symplectic path Φ (as in (2.7)). For instance, the claim for orbits corresponding to the first part of Result 1 enunciates that if x is an elliptic orbit for ϕ, then its mean index is not zero.

Remark 9 (Non-contractible orbits)**.** *Let ζ be a free homotopy class of maps $S^1 \to \Sigma$. Fix a reference loop z in ζ and a trivialization of TM along z. They give rise to a well defined, up to homotopy, $\mathbb{C}$-vector bundle trivialization of x^*TM for every $x \in \mathcal{L}_\zeta M$ and, for a one-periodic orbit of ϕ, the linearized flow along x*

$$d\phi_t \colon T_{x(0)}M \to T_{x(t)}M$$

can be viewed as a symplectic path $\Phi\colon [0,1] \to \mathrm{Sp}(2n)$. Consider the abelian principal covering $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_\zeta\Sigma$ with structure group

$$\Gamma_\zeta := \frac{\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_\zeta\Sigma)}{\ker(\overline{[\theta]})},$$

where $\overline{[\theta]}\colon \pi_1(\mathcal{L}_\zeta\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R}$. The mean index and the Conley-Zehnder index are defined as above and, since Σ is atoroidal, in this case the indices are also independent of the lifts.

2.4. **The Floer–Novikov homology.** In this section, we revisit the definition of the Floer–Novikov homology for contractible non-degenerate periodic orbits.

Consider a smooth almost complex structure J on Σ compatible with ω, i.e. such that

$$g(X,Y) := \omega(X, JY)$$

defines a Riemannian metric on Σ. We will denote by $\mathcal{J}$ the set of almost complex structures compatible with ω. Choose $J \in \mathcal{J}$ and let $\widetilde{g}$ denote the induced weak Riemannian metric on $\mathcal{L}\Sigma$ given by

$$\widetilde{g}(X_x, Y_x) = \int_{S^1} g(X_x(t), Y_x(t))dt,$$

where X_x and Y_x are vector fields along x. A gradient flow line is a mapping $u\colon \mathbb{R}\times S^1 \to \Sigma$ satisfying

$$\partial_s u(s,t) + J(\partial_t u(s,t) - X_t(u(s,t))) = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

The maps $u\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{L}\Sigma$ which satisfy (2.10) with boundary conditions

$$\lim_{s\to\pm\infty} \widetilde{u}(s,t) = \widetilde{x}_\pm(t), \tag{2.11}$$

for some lift $\widetilde{u}\colon \mathbb{R} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\Sigma$ of u, can be seen as connecting orbits between $\widetilde{x}_-$ and $\widetilde{x}_+$. We denote by $\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{x}_-,\ \widetilde{x}_+)$ the space of finite energy solutions of (2.10)– (2.11). The energy of a connecting orbit in this space is given by

$$E(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}\times S^1} |\partial_s u|_g^2 ds dt = \mathcal{A}_{\{\phi_t\}}(\widetilde{x}_+) - \mathcal{A}_{\{\phi_t\}}(\widetilde{x}_-)$$

when x_- and x_+ are non-degenerate. The space $\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{x}_-,\ \widetilde{x}_+)$ is a smooth manifold of dimension $\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x_+) - \mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x_-)$. It admits a natural $\mathbb{R}$-action given by reparametrization. For non-degenerate $x,\ y \in \mathcal{P}(\theta_t)$ such that $\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x) - \mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(y) = 1$, we have that $\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{x},\ \widetilde{y})/\mathbb{R}$ is finite and set

$$n_2(\widetilde{x},\ \widetilde{y}) := \#\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{x},\ \widetilde{y})/\mathbb{R} \quad \text{modulo two.}$$

Denote by $\mathcal{P}_k$ the set of elements $\widetilde{x} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\Sigma$ such that $x \in \mathcal{P}(\theta_t)$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x) = k$. Consider the chain complex where the k-th chain group C_k consists of all formal sums

$$\sum \xi_{\widetilde{x}} \cdot \widetilde{x}$$

with $\widetilde{x} \in \mathcal{P}_k,\ \xi_{\widetilde{x}} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and such that, for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$, the set

$$\left\{\widetilde{x} \mid \xi_{\widetilde{x}} \neq 0,\ \mathcal{A}_{\{\phi_t\}}(\widetilde{x}) > c\right\}$$

is finite. For a generator $\widetilde{x}$ in C_k, the boundary operator ∂_k is defined as follows

$$\partial_k(\widetilde{x}) = \sum_{\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(\widetilde{y})=k-1} n_2(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y})\widetilde{y}.$$

The boundary operator ∂ satisfies $\partial^2 = 0$ and we have the Floer–Novikov homology groups

$$HFN_k(\{\phi_t\}, J) = \frac{\ker \partial_k}{\operatorname{im} \partial_{k+1}}.$$

Moreover, this homology is invariant under exact deformations of the closed form θ_t (see [LO95, Theorem 4.3]) and hence two paths with the same flux have isomorphic associated Floer–Novikov homology groups.

Remark 10 (Floer–Novikov homology for non-contractible orbits)**.** *As mentioned in the introduction, the Floer–Novikov homology is defined for orbits which lie in some free homotopy class ζ. Here, we refer the reader to* [BH01] *for the details and point out that the Conley–Zehnder index defined in that paper when $\zeta = 0$ may result in a shift of the standard grading of the Floer–Novikov homology by an even integer (see* [BH01, Remark 3.4]*).*

3. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

In this section, we construct a flow ψ^t on the surface Σ with exactly $2g-2$ hyperbolic fixed points and no other periodic orbits, proving Theorem 1.1, and we obtain the Floer–Novikov homology of a symplectomorphism satisfying condition (1.1), hence proving Theorem 1.2.

3.1. **Construction of a symplectic flow with exactly $2g-2$ hyperbolic fixed points and no other periodic orbits.** We start with the case when Σ is a surface of genus $g=2$. The construction has three steps.

In the first step, take two 2-tori $\mathbb{T}_1$ and $\mathbb{T}_2$ and the linear flow ϕ_i^t on each torus $\mathbb{T}_i$ $(i=1,2)$:

$$\phi_i^t(x_i,y_i)=(tu_ix_i,tv_iy_i) \quad \text{with} \quad u_i\neq 0 \text{ and } \frac{v_i}{u_i}\in\mathbb{R}\backslash\mathbb{Q}, \quad i=1,2.$$

Here x_i, y_i are the coordinates on $\mathbb{T}_i=\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, $\quad i=1,2$.

Representing each torus by a square $[0,1]\times[0,1]$, where the sides $\{0\}\times[0,1]$ and $[0,1]\times\{0\}$ are identified with $\{1\}\times[0,1]$ and $[0,1]\times\{1\}$, respectively (see Figure 1), consider a square R_1 in $\mathbb{T}_1$ such that two parallel sides are segments of a linear flow line



FIGURE 1. Torus: $[0,1]\times[0,1]$

(of ϕ_1^t) with length $\varepsilon>0$ and a square L_2 in $\mathbb{T}_2$ where two parallel sides are segments of a linear flow line (of ϕ_2^t) with length $\varepsilon>0$ (see Remark 11). In Figure 2, there are three pictures. The two on the left refer to torus $\mathbb{T}_1$. The first one represents a flow line of ϕ_1^t (with slope v_1/u_1) and the second one shows the square R_1 where two of its sides are segments of the represented flow line. The picture on the right refers to the torus $\mathbb{T}_2$ where a flow line of ϕ_2^t (with slope v_2/u_2) is represented together with the square L_2.



FIGURE 2. Tori $\mathbb{T}_1$ and $\mathbb{T}_2$ and linear flow lines.

Remark 11. *In the current case, where $g=2$, ε is small enough so that the squares R_1 and L_2 are inside the square $[0,1]\times[0,1]$. See Remark 16 for the general case.*

Remark 12. *In order to distinguish the boundaries of the squares from the interiors of the squares, we denote by R_1 and L_2 their boundaries and by $\mathring{R}_1$ and $\mathring{L}_2$ their interiors.*

In the second step, consider a surface P obtained by a homotopy between a circle (of radius $\varepsilon/4$) and a square (with side length equal to ε) and a surface U defined piecewise, in

the middle, by a (horizontal) cylinder with radius $\varepsilon/4$ together with a surface P at each end (with circles identified) as shown in Figure 3. For $(x, y, z) \in U$, we have $-\varepsilon/2 \leq x, z \leq \varepsilon/2$ and $-1 \leq y \leq 1$. The boundary of U is the disjoint union of two squares S^L and S^R which lie in the planes $\{y = -1\}$ and $\{y = 1\}$, respectively.

Let $H\colon U \to [-\varepsilon/2, \varepsilon/2] \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function defined by



FIGURE 3. Surface U.

$$H(x, y, z) = (1 - \beta(y))yz + \beta(y)z, \quad \text{for } (x, y, z) \in U \tag{3.1}$$

where $\beta\colon [-1, 1] \to [0, 1]$ is a smooth function which is 0 when y is in $(-c, c)$, 1 when y is in $[-1, -1 + d) \cup (1 - d, 1]$ and strictly monotone in $(-1 - d, -c) \cup (c, 1 - d)$ with $0 < c < 1 - d,\ d < 0$. (See Figure 4 and Remark 14 for the choice of the real numbers c and d.).



FIGURE 4. Function β.

The hamiltonian flow lines of H are depicted in Figure 5. The picture on the left shows the hamiltonian flow lines in U when y is *near* -1, in the middle are the hamiltonian flow lines in U when y is *near* 0 and on the right are the hamiltonian flow lines in U when y is *near* 1.

Remark 13. *Here, "y is near -1" means that $y \in [-1, -1 + d)$. Similarly, "y is near 0" means $y \in (-c, c)$ and "y is near 1" means $y \in (1 - d, 1]$.*



FIGURE 5. Flow lines of the hamiltonian H on the surface U.

In the last step,

- cut off $\mathring{R}_1$ from $\mathbb{T}_1$ and $\mathring{L}_2$ from $\mathbb{T}_2$,
- identify R_1 with S^L so that the sides of R_1 given by segments of a flow line correspond to the sides of S^L determined by $z = \pm\varepsilon/2$ (see Figure 6) and
- identify L_2 with S^R so that the sides of L_2 given by segments of a flow line correspond to the sides of S^R determined by $z = \pm\varepsilon/2$.



FIGURE 6. Identification of R_1 with S^L.

This construction yields a closed surface Σ of genus 2 with a symplectic flow $\psi^t \colon \Sigma \to \Sigma$ which coincides with

- the linear flow ϕ_1^t on $\mathbb{T}_1 \backslash \mathring{R}_1$
- the linear flow ϕ_2^t on $\mathbb{T}_2 \backslash \mathring{L}_2$
- the hamiltonian flow of H on U.

Each flow line of ψ^t lies entirely

(1) either on the circle $U \cap \{y = 0\}$
(2) or on $\mathbb{T}_1 \backslash \mathring{R}_1 \cup (U \cap \{y < 0\}) =: V^-$
(3) or on $\mathbb{T}_2 \backslash \mathring{L}_2 \cup (U \cap \{y > 0\}) =: V^+$.

We observe that a flow line of ψ^t does not intersect both V^- and V^+. In (1), ψ^t has two hyperbolic fixed points and no other periodic orbits. In (2), ψ^t has no periodic orbits. In fact, by construction, when a flow line of ψ^t given by ϕ_1^t reaches R_1, it will

- either stay on U and converge to one of the hyperbolic fixed points
- or cross R_1 again after some time and continue in the same flow line of ϕ_1^t when exiting $\mathbb{T}_1 \backslash \mathring{R}_1$ (since at S^L the hamiltonian is given by the height function).

This property together with the fact that ϕ_1^t is an irrational linear flow imply the non existence of (long) periodic orbits of ψ^t on V^-. Case (3) is similar to (2) and there are no periodic orbits of ψ^t on V^+.

Remark 14. *In the function β, the real numbers c and d are chosen so that $c < 0.5 < 1-d$ and c and $1-d$ are close enough so that the flow ψ^t has the above properties. For instance, we may choose $c = d = 0.4$.*

Therefore, we have obtained a symplectic flow on Σ with exactly two hyperbolic fixed points, no other periodic orbits. Let us see that the flux of this symplectic flow is given by (u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2).

Recall that the fundamental group $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ of a surface of genus 2 is given by the group $< a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \,|\, [a_1, b_1][a_2, b_2] = 1 >$ with generators a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 and relator $[a_1, b_1][a_2, b_2] = 1$, where $[a, b] = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$ is the commutator of a and b. Consider loops $\gamma_i \, (i = 1, \dots, 4)$ in Σ such that

- γ_1 is such that $[\gamma_1] = [a_1]$ in $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ and it corresponds to a vertical line in $\mathbb{T}_1$ such that $\psi^t \circ \gamma_1$ does not intersect $\mathring{R}_1 \cup R_1$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$,
- γ_2 is such that $[\gamma_2] = [b_1]$ in $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ and it corresponds to a horizontal line in $\mathbb{T}_1$ such that $\psi^t \circ \gamma_2$ does not intersect $\mathring{R}_1 \cup R_1$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$,
- γ_3 is such that $[\gamma_3] = [a_2]$ in $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ and it corresponds to a vertical line in $\mathbb{T}_2$ such that $\psi^t \circ \gamma_3$ does not intersect $\mathring{L}_2 \cup L_2$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, and
- γ_4 is such that $[\gamma_4] = [b_2]$ in $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ and it corresponds to a horizontal line in $\mathbb{T}_2$ such that $\psi^t \circ \gamma_4$ does not intersect $\mathring{L}_2 \cup L_2$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Remark 15. *We may have to take $\varepsilon > 0$ (in the definitions of the squares R_1 and L_2) sufficiently small so that the above conditions on the loops γ_i are satisfied.*

The area swept by γ_i $(i = 1, 2)$ under $\psi^1_{(u_1,v_1,u_2,v_2)}$ is the area swept by γ_i under ϕ_1^t and hence it is u_1 when $i = 1$ and v_1 when $i = 2$. The area swept by γ_i $(i = 3, 4)$ under $\psi^1_{(u_1,v_1,u_2,v_2)}$ is the area swept by γ_i under ϕ_2^t and hence it is u_2 when $i = 3$ and v_2 when $i = 4$. Therefore, the flux of the symplectic flow $\psi^t_{(u_1,v_1,u_2,v_2)}$ $(t \in [0, 1])$ is (u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2) (recall Remark 6).

The general case, where Σ is a surface of genus $g \geq 2$, is similar to the case where $g = 2$. Take g 2-tori, $\mathbb{T}_1, \dots, \mathbb{T}_g$, and the linear flow on each $\mathbb{T}_i$:

$$\phi_i^t(x_i, y_i) = (tu_i x_i, tv_i y_i) \quad \text{with} \quad u_i \neq 0 \, \text{and} \, \frac{v_i}{u_i} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Q}, \quad i = 1, \dots, g.$$

On each torus $\mathbb{T}_i$ (viewed as a square, as above), consider two squares R_i and L_i such that

- $\mathring{R}_g \cup R_g = \emptyset$ and $\mathring{L}_1 \cup L_1 = \emptyset$,
- $\mathring{R}_i \cup R_i$ and $\mathring{L}_i \cup L_i$ are disjoint,
- two parallel sides of R_i are segments of a flow line of ϕ_i^t in $\mathbb{T}_i$ $(i \neq g)$,
- two parallel sides of L_i are segments of a flow line of ϕ_i^t in $\mathbb{T}_i$ $(i \neq 1)$ and
- the length of the sides of each square is ε.

Remark 16. *In the general case, where $g \geq 2$, ε is small enough so that $(\mathring{R}_i \cup R_i) \dot{\cup} (\mathring{L}_i \cup L_i)$ is inside the square $[0,1] \times [0,1]$.*

Let U_i with $i = 1, \dots, g-1$ be $g-1$ copies of the surface U and the corresponding functions $H_i \colon U_i \to [-\varepsilon/2, \varepsilon/2] \subset \mathbb{R}$ defined as in (3.1). Similarly to the case $g = 2$, we denote the boundary components of U_i by S_i^L and S_i^R. For each $i = 1, \dots, g$ (see Figure 7),

- cut off $\mathring{R}_i$ and $\mathring{L}_i$ from $\mathbb{T}_i$,
- identify R_i with S_i^L so that the sides of R_i given by segments of a flow line correspond to the sides of S_i^L determined by $z = \pm\varepsilon/2$ and
- identify L_i with S_i^R so that the sides of L_i given by segments of a flow line correspond to the sides of S_i^R determined by $z = \pm\varepsilon/2$.



FIGURE 7. Construction of the surface with genus g.

We have thus obtained a closed surface Σ with genus $g \geq 2$ and a symplectic flow on Σ

$$\psi^t_{(u_1,v_1,\dots,u_g,v_g)} \colon \Sigma \to \Sigma$$

which coincides with

- the linear flow ϕ_i^t on $\mathbb{T}_i \backslash (\mathring{R}_i \cup \mathring{L}_i)$, $\quad i = 1, \dots, g$
- the hamiltonian flow of H_i on U_i, $i = 1, \dots, g-1$.

Arguing as in the case $g = 2$, we obtain Theorem 1.1.

3.2. **The Floer–Novikov homology of symplectomorphisms satisfying the flux condition (1.1).** Consider $\phi \in \mathrm{Symp}_0(\Sigma, \omega)$ such that

$$\mathrm{Flux}(\phi) = (u_1, v_1, \dots, u_g, v_g) \quad \text{with} \quad u_i \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{v_i}{u_i} \notin \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, g.$$

Then $\mathrm{Flux}(\phi) = \mathrm{Flux}\left(\psi^t_{(u_1,v_1,\dots,u_g,v_g)} \Big|_{t\in[0,1]} \right)$, where $\psi^t_{(u_1,v_1,\dots,u_g,v_g)}$ is the symplectic flow constructed in Section 3.1 with flux equal to $(u_1, v_1, \dots, u_g, v_g)$.

The symplectic flow $\psi^t_{(u_1,v_1,\dots,u_g,v_g)}$ has $2g-2$ hyperbolic fixed points. Then the mean index and the Conley-Zehnder index of the fixed points are 0. Since there are no other periodic orbits, we have that C_0 is the only non-trivial group of the (Floer–Novikov) chain complex and it is generated by $2g-2$ fixed points. Hence, the Floer–Novikov homology of $\psi = \psi^1_{(u_1,v_1,\dots,u_g,v_g)}$ is given by

$$HFN_r(\psi) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}^{2g-2}, & r = 0 \\ 0, & r \neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Since $\mathrm{Flux}(\phi) = \mathrm{Flux}\left(\psi^t_{(u_1,v_1,\dots,u_g,v_g)}\Big|_{t\in[0,1]}\right)$, Theorem 1.2 follows by the comment on page 12 after the definition of the Floer–Novikov homology.

Remark 17 (The non-contractible case of Theorem 1.2)**.** *Since $\psi^t_{(u_1,v_1,\dots,u_g,v_g)}$ has no non-contractible periodic orbits, the Floer–Novikov homology for non-contractible orbits of a strongly non-degenerate ϕ is $HFN_*(\phi,\zeta) = 0$ for any non-trivial free homotopy class of loops ζ.*

4. Proofs of Theorems 1.3–1.5

4.1. **Proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.** Theorem 1.3 follows from Theorem 1.4 and Result 1 (1). Let us then prove Theorem 1.4.

Assume ϕ has finitely many fixed points. Let S be the finite set of fixed points y of ϕ such that $\Delta_{\{\phi_t\}}(y) \neq \Delta_{\{\phi_t\}}(x_0)$. If $S \neq \emptyset$, then define

$$\tau_0 := \min\{k > 1 \ : \ k|\Delta_{\{\phi_t\}}(x_0) - \Delta_{\{\phi_t\}}(y)| > 3 \text{ for all } y \in S\}$$

otherwise take $\tau_0 := 2$.

The proof goes by contradiction. Let τ be a prime integer greater than τ_0 such that all τ-periodic points are iterations of fixed points. We show that, with these assumptions, the τ-th iteration of x_0, x_0^τ, contributes non-trivially to the Floer–Novikov homology in degree $\mu := \mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x_0^\tau) \neq 0$ which contradicts Theorem 1.2.

If x_0^τ connects to some τ-th iteration of a fixed point y of ϕ, y^τ, by a solution of the Floer–Novikov equation (2.10), then

$$|\,\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x_0^\tau) - \mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(y^\tau)| = 1. \tag{4.1}$$

If $y \in S$, then

$$\tau|\Delta_{\{\phi_t\}}(x_0) - \Delta_{\{\phi_t\}}(y)| > 3 \tag{4.2}$$

and we obtain the following contradiction

$$1 = |\,\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x_0^\tau) - \mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(y^\tau)| \geq \tau|\Delta_{\{\phi_t\}}(x_0) - \Delta_{\{\phi_t\}}(y)| - 2 > 1, \tag{4.3}$$

where the first inequality follows from (2.8) and (2.9) and the last inequality follows from (4.2). If $y \notin S$, then $\Delta_{\{\phi_t\}}(x_0^\tau) = \Delta_{\{\phi_t\}}(y^\tau)$ (by (2.8)) and $\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x_0^\tau) = \mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(y^\tau)$ (by (2.9)) which contradicts (4.1). Hence, x_0^τ is not connected to any y^τ which implies that $HFN_\mu(\phi^\tau) \neq 0$ where $\mu := \mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x_0^\tau)$.

If μ were 0, then x_0^τ would be hyperbolic (by Result 2). Then we would have that $\Delta_{\{\phi_t^\tau\}}(x_0^\tau) = \mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x_0^\tau) = 0$ (by Result 3) which implies that $\Delta_{\{\phi_t\}}(x_0) = 0$ (by (2.8)). This contradicts our assumption on x_0. Therefore, $\mu \neq 0$ and we obtained the wanted contradiction. □

Remark 18 (The non-contractible cases of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4)**.**

- *In Theorem 1.3, the result still holds true if the elliptic periodic orbit corresponding to x_0 is non-contractible. In this case, we choose τ as above, fix the free homotopy class $\tau\zeta$, where ζ is the free homotopy class of the loop corresponding to x_0, consider x_0^τ as the reference loop in $\tau\zeta$ and work with the (non-contractible)*

Floer–Novikov homology $HFN(\phi^\tau, \tau\zeta)$. (Recall Remarks 9 and 10.) By Theorem 1.2 and Remark 10, the Floer–Novikov homology $HFN_*(\phi^\tau, \tau\zeta)$ is 0 when $*$ is an odd integer. Since x_0 is elliptic, its Conley–Zehnder index $\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x_0)$ is odd (by Result 2). Moreover, using the above argument, x_0^τ is not connected to any y^τ which implies that x_0^τ contributes non-trivially to the Floer–Novikov homology in some odd degree. If the Conley–Zehnder index $\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x_0^\tau)$ were even, then x_0^τ would be hyperbolic and $\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x_0^\tau) = \Delta(x_0^\tau) = \tau\Delta(x_0)$ would be even. Since τ is odd, the mean index $\Delta(x_0)$ would also be even and, by (2.9), the Conley–Zehnder index $\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x_0) = \Delta(x_0)$ would be even. Hence x_0 would be hyperbolic contradicting the hypothesis on x_0. The result then follows.

- *In Theorem 1.4, if the fixed point x_0 with non-zero mean index corresponds to a non-contractible periodic orbit with non-trivial homotopy class ζ and its τ-th iterations, with τ a prime integer, lie in non-trivial homotopy classes $\tau\zeta$, then ϕ has infinitely many periodic points. These points correspond to periodic orbits which lie in the free homotopy classes formed by iterations of the orbit corresponding to x_0. In this case, the proof is essentially the same as in the contractible case. (The last paragraph is not needed.) Recall Remark 17.*

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.5.** Suppose the number of fixed points of ϕ is greater than $2g-2$. By (1.2), there exist $2g-2$ fixed points $x_1, \dots, x_{2g-2}$ of ϕ which contribute non-trivially to the Floer–Novikov homology of ϕ. If there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, 2g-2\}$ such that $\Delta_{\{\phi_t\}}(x_j) \neq 0$, then, by Theorem 1.4, the result follows. If not, then $\Delta_{\{\phi_t\}}(x_i) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, 2g-2$. Take a fixed point x such that $x \neq x_i$ $(i = 1, \dots, 2g-2)$. Either $\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x) = 0$, $\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x) = 1$ or $\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x) = 2$.

Let us first consider the case $\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x) = 0$. By (1.2), there exists $y \in C_1$ such that y is connected to x by a solution of the Floer–Novikov equation (2.10). Then, either y is elliptic or y is hyperbolic. If y is elliptic, the result follows by Theorem 1.3. If y is hyperbolic, then $\Delta_{\{\phi_t\}}(y) = \mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(y) = 1 \neq 0$ and the result follows by Theorem 1.4.

Assume now $\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x) = 1$. Then, the result follows by the same argument used for y in the previous step.

Finally, assume $\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x) = 2$. Then, by (2.9), we have that $\Delta_{\{\phi_t\}}(x) \neq 0$ and the result follows by Theorem 1.4. □

References

[Ada78] John Frank Adams, *Infinite loop spaces*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 90, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1978.

[Bat] M. Batoréo, *On non-contractible hyperbolic periodic orbits and periodic points of symplectomorphisms*, ArXiv e-print 1507.04297 (to appear in J. Symplectic Geom.).

[Bat15] ———, *On hyperbolic points and periodic orbits of symplectomorphisms*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society **91** (2015), no. 1, 249–265.

[BH01] D. Burghelea and S. Haller, *Non-contractible periodic trajectories of symplectic vector fields, Floer cohomology and symplectic torsion*, ArXiv e-print math/0104013 (2001).

[BH12] B. Bramham and H. Hofer, *First steps towards a symplectic dynamics*, Surveys in differential geometry. Vol. XVII, Surv. Differ. Geom., vol. 17, Int. Press, Boston, MA, 2012, pp. 127–177.

[CKR+12] B. Collier, E. Kerman, B. M. Reiniger, B. Turmunkh, and A. Zimmer, *A symplectic proof of a theorem of Franks*, Compos. Math. **148** (2012), no. 6, 1969–1984.

[Con84] C. C. Conley, Lecture at the University of Wisconsin (April 6, 1984).

[Fra88] J. Franks, *Generalizations of the Poincaré-Birkhoff theorem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **128** (1988), no. 1, 139–151.

[Fra92] ———, *Geodesics on S^2 and periodic points of annulus homeomorphisms*, Invent. Math. **108** (1992), no. 2, 403–418.

[Fra96] ———, *Area preserving homeomorphisms of open surfaces of genus zero*, New York J. Math. **2** (1996), 1–19, electronic.

[GG12] V. L. Ginzburg and B. Z. Gürel, *Conley conjecture for negative monotone symplectic manifolds*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2012), no. 8, 1748–1767.

[GG14] ———, *Hyperbolic fixed points and periodic orbits of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms*, Duke Math. J. **163** (2014), no. 3, 565–590.

[GG15] ———, *The conley conjecture and beyond*, Arnold Mathematical Journal **1** (2015), no. 3, 299–337.

[GGM14] V. L. Ginzburg, B. Z. Gürel, and L. Macarini, *On the conley conjecture for reeb flows*, Int. J. Math. (2014).

[Gin10] V. L. Ginzburg, *The Conley conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) **172** (2010), no. 2, 1127–1180.

[GLC99] J.-M. Gambaudo and P. Le Calvez, *Décomposition des difféomorphismes du tore en applications déviant la verticale. Avec un appendice: Infinité d'orbites périodiques pour les difféomorphismes conservatifs de l'anneau*, Mémoires de la Société Mathématique de France, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1999.

[Gür13] B. Z. Gürel, *On non-contractible periodic orbits of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **45** (2013), no. 6, 1227–1234.

[Gür14] ———, *Periodic orbits of Hamiltonian systems linear and hyperbolic at infinity*, Pacific J. Math. **271** (2014), no. 1, 159–182.

[Hei12] D. Hein, *The Conley conjecture for irrational symplectic manifolds*, J. Symplectic Geom. **10** (2012), no. 2, 183–202.

[Hin09] N. Hingston, *Subharmonic solutions of Hamiltonian equations on tori*, Ann. of Math. (2) **170** (2009), no. 2, 529–560.

[Hu59] Sze-tsen Hu, *Homotopy theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. VIII, Academic Press, New York, 1959.

[HZ11] H. Hofer and E. Zehnder, *Symplectic invariants and Hamiltonian dynamics*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2011, Reprint of the 1994 edition.

[Kęd00] J. Kędra, *Remarks on the flux groups*, Math. Res. Lett. **7** (2000), no. 2-3, 279–285.

[Ker12] E. Kerman, *On primes and period growth for Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms*, J. Mod. Dyn. **6** (2012), no. 1, 41–58.

[KH95] A. Katok and B. Hasselblatt, *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 54, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995, With a supplementary chapter by Katok and Leonardo Mendoza.

[LO95] H. V. Lê and K. Ono, *Symplectic fixed points, the Calabi invariant and Novikov homology*, Topology **34** (1995), no. 1, 155–176.

[MS95] D. McDuff and D. Salamon, *Introduction to symplectic topology*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1995, Oxford Science Publications.

[Ono06] K. Ono, *Floer-Novikov cohomology and the flux conjecture*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **16** (2006), no. 5, 981–1020.

[SZ92] D. Salamon and E. Zehnder, *Morse theory for periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems and the Maslov index*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **45** (1992), no. 10, 1303–1360.

Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo – Departamento de Matemática,
Av. Fernando Ferrari 514, Campus de Goiabeiras,
Vitória, ES, Brazil 29075–910
E-mail address: marta.batoreo@ufes.br